

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/773,499		02/06/2004	Raymond E. Zehrung	ZEH347	6622	
27055	7590	04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID R.		=	GALL, LLOYD A			
MENLO PA		GENCY LLC Y		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MENLO PA	RK, CA	94025	3676			
				DATE MAIL ED. 04/10/2004	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 ,							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/773,499	ZEHRUNG, RAYMOND E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lloyd A. Gall	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of the deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) o the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified cop	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/773,499

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The parent application data and its updated status should be inserted on page 1 of the specification. On page 5, line 23 and page 6, line 4, shouldn't "0.20" be less than the "0.150" dimension referred to on page 5, line 20?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 7, it is not clear what is meant by "without end-for-end reversing". In claim 14, lines 3 and 4, it appears that "push" and "pull" should be reversed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-16 of this application conflict with claims 1-16 of Application No. 10/987,520. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in

Application/Control Number: 10/773,499

Art Unit: 3676

scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/987,520. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin (092).

It is first noted that "reversible" and "without end-for-end reversing" in claim 1, lines 6-7 are regarded as structurally unsupported functional limitations, of no patentable significance. As seen in figs. 2 and 3, Martin teaches first and second trim assemblies and a cylindrical chassis 23, the chassis having locked and unlocked states and being locked by a latch member defined by the bottommost portion of latch 20 in fig. 3, a solenoid 21 being reversible for an active push by energizing the coil as set forth in column 5, lines 49-56. The coil is also energized for an active pull as set forth in column 5, lines 57-60.

Provided the above double patenting rejection and the above claim objections are overcome:

Application/Control Number: 10/773,499 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Claims 3-16 would be objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rland a Male

LG (C April 8, 2005